

## Material suplementario

Apéndice 1. Estrategia de búsqueda detallada Términos indexados y términos libres para la búsqueda		
Bases	Término libres e indexados en español	Términos libres e indexados en Inglés
Pubmed, Cochrane, literatura gris	EREND: error médico, evento adverso, seguridad del paciente, incidente clínico; and in English: Equipo de respuesta rápida: equipo respuesta rápida, equipo respuesta inmediata, equipo hospital, equipo médico, equipo cuidado del paciente, equipo cuidado salud.	Medical Errors, Hospital Rapid Response Team, Patient Care Team; response team, rapid response team, hospital team, medical team, care team, patient care team, health care team, teamwork. EREND: patient safety, medical error, clinical incident, adverse event, incident report.
Lilacs	error médico, Equipo Hospitalario de Respuesta Rápida.	

Algoritmos de búsqueda		
	Español	Inglés
lilacs	((equipo AND ((respuesta rapida) OR (respuesta inmediata) OR hospital OR medico OR (cuidado del paciente) OR (cuidado salud))) AND ((error medico) OR (evento adverso) OR (seguridad del paciente) OR (incidente clinico)))	((team AND ((rapid response) OR hospital OR medical OR care OR (patient care) OR (health care))) AND ((patient safety) OR (adverse event) OR (medical error) OR (clinical incident)))
Pubmed		((((team AND ((rapid response) OR (hospital OR medical OR care OR (patient care) OR (health care))) AND ((patient safety) OR (Medical Error*) OR (clinical incident) OR (adverse event))))))
Cochrane Library		response team AND patient safety
EMBASE		('rapid response team'/exp OR 'teamwork'/exp) AND ('health care'/exp OR 'medical care'/exp OR 'patient care'/exp OR 'hospital'/exp) AND ('patient safety'/exp OR 'medical error'/exp OR 'incident report'/exp OR 'adverse event'/exp)
OPEN GREY		response team AND patient safety
The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)		response team AND patient safety

**Apéndice 2.**  
**Evaluación de la calidad metodológica**

**The Joanna Briggs Institute Critical Appraisal Checklist for Qualitative Research**

Estudio	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	P8	P9	P10
Smith, 2017.	S	S	S	S	S	N	N	S	S	S
Cliford, 2014.	S	S	S	S	S	NA	NA	NA	S	S
Hatler, 2009.	S	S	S	S	S	NA	NA	NA	S	S
Chua, 2019.	S	S	S	S	S	N	N	S	S	S
King, 2006.	S	S	S	S	S	NA	NA	NA	S	S
Dias, 2019.	S	S	S	S	S	S	N	S	S	S
Danielis, 2021	S	S	S	S	S	NA	NA	NA	S	S

S: Sí N: No U: No claro NA: No aplica

P1	Is there congruity between the stated philosophical perspective and the research methodology?
P2	Is there congruity between the research methodology and the research question or objectives?
P3	"Is there congruity between the research methodology and the methods used to collect data?"
P4	Is there congruity between the research methodology and the representation and analysis of data?
P5	Is there congruity between the research methodology and the interpretation of results?
P6	Is there a statement locating the researcher culturally or theoretically?
P7	Is the influence of the researcher on the research, and vice-versa, addressed?
P8	Are participants, and their voices, adequately represented?
P9	Is the research ethical according to current criteria or, for recent studies, and is there evidence of ethical approval by an appropriate body?
P10	Do the conclusions drawn in the research report flow from the analysis, or interpretation, of the data?

**NEWCASTLE - OTTAWA QUALITY ASSESSMENT SCALE COHORT STUDIES**

	P1	P2	P3	P4	P1	P1	P2	P3
Mezzaroba, 2016.	*	NA	*	*	NA	*	*	*
Bellew, 2017.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Ganju, 2019.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Psirides, 2016.	*	NA	*	*	NA	*	*	*
Yang, 2020.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*: Una estrella No estrella NA: No aplica

Selection	Representativeness of the exposed cohort
P1	
P2	Selection of the non exposed cohort
P3	Ascertainment of exposure
P4	
Comparability	Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis
P1	
Outcomes	Assessment of outcome
P1	Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur
P2	
P3	Adequacy of follow up of cohorts

**Instrumento para la lectura crítica de la evaluación de estudios epidemiológicos transversales Berra S S col.**

Estudio	Validez interna	Validez externa	Evaluación global de la calidad de los estudios
Wynn, 2009.	High	High	High
Psirides, 2013.	High	High	High

**The Joanna Briggs Institute Critical Appraisal Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies (non-randomized experimental studies)**

Estudio	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	P8	P9
Danesh, 2019.	U	S	U	S	S	S	S	S	S

S: Sí N: No U: No claro NA: No aplica

P1	Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e. there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?
P2	Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?
P3	Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?
P4	Was there a control group?
P5	Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?
P6	Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?
P7	Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?
P8	Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?
P9	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?